

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: May 13, 2009  
Electronic Signature for Sharon M. Sintich: /Sharon M. Sintich/

Docket No.: 29915/00281DUS  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Riqiang Yan et al.

Application No.: 10/801,938

Confirmation No.: 2223

Filed: March 16, 2004

Art Unit: 1639

For: SUBSTRATES AND ASSAYS FOR BETA-  
SECRETASE ACTIVITY

Examiner: J. S. Lundgren

**AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Madam:

This is a response to the Office Action mailed November 14, 2008, in which pending claims 84-93, 96-108 and 110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. These claims were also provisionally rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. This response is timely filed with a petition and fee for three-months extension of time.

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 8 of this paper.